ALDOL REACTIONS IN POLYPROPIONATE SYNTHESIS: HIGH π -FACE SELECTIVITY OF ENOL BORINATES FROM α -CHIRAL METHYL AND ETHYL KETONES UNDER SUBSTRATE CONTROL.

Ian Paterson*, Jonathan M. Goodman, and Masahiko Isaka

University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK.

Summary: Use of (*c*-C₆H₁₁)₂BCl in the *anti*-selective aldol reaction of the α -chiral ethylketone **2** leads to high stereoselectivity (>94%) for the 1,2-*anti*-2,4-*anti* isomer **7**. The related α -chiral methylketone aldol reaction, **8** \rightarrow **9**, proceeds with 84-93% diastereoselectivity for a range of boron reagents.

We recently described a useful entry into the synthesis of polypropionate-derived natural products based on the aldol reactions of α -chiral ethylketones using enol borinates.^{1,2} For an aldol/reduction approach to the generalised stereotetrad **1** in Scheme **1**, the controlled synthesis³ of each of the sixteen possible stereoisomers from the appropriate enantiomer of the starting ketone **2** is required. In our earlier work, the use of (+)- and (-)-(Ipc)₂BOTf reagents with ethylketone (+)-2 gave, *via* the Z enol borinates **3**, the 1,2-*syn* adducts **4** and **5** (SS and SA) respectively with 90-93% diastereoselectivity (*i.e.* a reagent controlled aldol reaction).^{1a} However, using achiral ligands on boron in **3** provided negligible π -face selectivity and gave an *ca* 1:1 mixture of **4** and **5**.

We now report the contrasting behaviour of **6**, the corresponding *E* enol borinate derivative of **2** with *achiral* ligands, which gives the 1,2-*anti*-2,4-*anti* adduct **7** (**AA**) with >94% diastereoselectivity (*i.e.* a substrate controlled aldol reaction). The aldol reaction of the α -chiral methylketone (+)-**8** also occurs with an unprecedented level of stereoselectivity (84-93%), favouring the 1,4-syn adduct **9** for a range of boron reagents.

Scheme 1



Our earlier findings^{1a} for the boron mediated aldol reactions of ethylketone **2** suggested that with ⁿBu₂BOTf/Et₃N at 0 °C some selectivity (70-84%) for one of the two 1,2-anti isomers (**AA** or **AS**) was possible. Since the π -face selectivity of chiral enol borinates varies with the size of the ligands on boron,^{2g} we chose to explore the use of more sterically demanding boron reagents to give the required *E* isomer. Brown's dicyclohexylchloroborane reagent, which gives highly selective *E* enolisation with simple ethylketones,^{2b} was applied to the *anti*-selective aldol reaction of α -chiral ketone (+)-**2**, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +23.0$ ° (*c* 8.2, CHCl₃; >97% ee), as shown in **Scheme 2**. The results for various aldehydes are given in **Table 1**.



Table 1. Aldol reactions of ethylketone (+)-2 using (c-C6H11)2BCI/Et3N in Et2O.ª

entry		aldehyde	temp (°C)	AA	AS	SS + SA	AA:AS	% yield ^c
1	ו	11	0 ^d	92.0	5.0	<3.0	18:1	72
2	ſ	⊥сно	-78	>94.3	2.2	<3.5	43:1	74
3		<u></u> СНО	-78	96.3	0.8	2.9	120:1	85
4		ⁿ PrCHO	-78	95		5 ^e	≥30:1	72
5		ⁱ PrCHO	-78	>94		<6f	≥30:1	89

product composition (%)^b

^{*a*} Enolisation (0 °C, 2 h), condensation (-78 °C, 3 h), and oxidative workup (H₂O₂, pH7 buffer/MeOH) conditions are standard unless otherwise stated. For typical experimental conditions, see below and ref 2b. ^{*b*} Isomer ratios determined by weighing isolated components after HPLC separation. ^{*c*} Isolated yield after chromatography. ^{*d*} Aldehyde addition at 0 °C for 2 h. ^{*e*} Total of minor isomers (0.6%, 1.4%, 3%), where stereochemistry was not assigned. ^{*f*} Total of minor isomers, where stereochemistry was not assigned.

Optimisation of the reaction conditions (base, solvent, and temperature) was initially performed using methacrolein. Use of $(c-C_6H_{11})_2BCl$ (1.5 equiv.) and Et₃N (1.6 equiv.) in Et₂O, with enolisation of (+)-2 at 0 °C for 2 h and aldol addition (2 equiv. of aldehyde) at -78 °C for 3 h, led to highest selectivity (>94.3%) for one of the 1,2-anti isomers (entry 2). The π -face selectivity of the intermediate *E* enol borinate **6** was 43:1 based on the ratio of 1,2-anti isomers obtained (**AA** and **AS**), with <3.5% of 1,2-syn isomers (SS and SA) detected (HPLC). Higher temperatures for aldol addition (entry 1) led to reduced enol borinate face selectivity. Highly stereoselective addol reactions were also carried out with crotonaldehyde, butanal, and *isobutyraldehyde* (entries 3-5). In the case of the crotonaldehyde addition, the stereochemistry of the major adduct was determined as 1,2-anti-2,4-anti (**AA**),⁴ *i.e.* **7** for R = *E*-MeCH=CH, and this assignment is assumed to also hold for the major adducts obtained with the other aldehydes. This high enol borinate π -face selectivity (\geq 30-120:1), achieved *under substrate control*, is in marked contrast to the lack of π -face bias in the *Z* isomer.^{1a} Such different behaviour in the *E* and *Z* enol borinates of a α -chiral ketone is unprecedented. It was, therefore, of interest to study the behaviour of the corresponding unsubstituted enol borinate (Scheme 3).

The methylketone (+)-8, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +16.6^{\circ}$ (c 8.7, CHCl₃; >97% ee), was prepared⁵ by an analogous three step sequence to that used in the synthesis of (+)-2. The enol borinate was generated with a range of reagents and reacted with methacrolein (entries 1-3, Table 2). Using achiral reagents, the reaction diastereoselectivity was 5:1 (¹³C NMR on the mixture and capillary gc analysis after silvlation), which did not vary significantly with the size of the ligands on boron (entries 1 vs 2). In contrast, the corresponding Li enolate reaction of 8 (LDA, THF, -78 °C) with methacrolein was non-

selective (57:43).⁶ The diastereoselectivity could be improved to 13:1 by use of the chiral reagent (-)-(Ipc)₂BOTf (entry 3).⁷ Similar levels of stereoselectivity (5-11:1) were obtained with benzaldehyde (entry 4) and butanal (entries 5 and 6). In these two cases, the major addol adduct was determined to be 1,4-syn (**9** for R = Ph, ⁿPr).⁸ These results contrast with the low addol stereoselectivities shown by most other α -chiral methylketones investigated.⁹

Scheme 3



entry		aldehyde	boron reagent	amine	isomer ratio ^b	% yield ^c
1)		ⁿ Bu ₂ BOTf ^d	ⁱ Pr2NEt	84:16	45
2	}	∕⊥сно	$(c-C_6H_{11})_2BOTf^d$	ⁱ Pr2NEt	86:14	40
3	,		(-)-(Ipc)2BOTf ^d	ⁱ Pr2NEt	93:7	84
4		PhCHO	$(-)-(Ipc)_2BOTf^d$	ⁱ Pr2NEt	84:16	77
5	ר		$(c-C_6H_{11})_2BCl^e$	Et3N	88:12	84
6	}	ⁿ PrCHO	(-)-(Ipc) ₂ BOTf ^d	ⁱ Pr ₂ NEt	92:8	74

Table 2. Aldol reactions of methylketone (+)-8 via enol borinate 10.ª

^{*a*} Enolisation (0 °C, 2 h), condensation (-78 °C, 3 h), and oxidative workup (H₂O₂, pH7 buffer/MeOH) conditions are standard unless otherwise stated. For typical experimental conditions, see ref 2f. ^{*b*} The isomers were inseparable by HPLC. Isomer ratios were determined by both ¹³C NMR on the mixture and capillary gc after trimethylsilylation (Me₃SiCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 20 °C). ^c Isolated yield of **9** and **11** after chromatography. ^{*d*} Reaction in CH₂Cl₂. ^{*e*} Reaction in Et₂O.

In the aldol reactions of both enol borinates **6** and **10**, the sense of aldehyde π -face selectivity is the same, with the former showing a greater level of selectivity. Furthermore, the finding that for achiral ligands, the unsubstituted enolate **10** shows much higher selectivity than the Z-methyl substituted system is the reverse to other cases.²*g*,⁹ Overall, the 1,4-syn stereoselectivity of the present aldol reactions are in accord with that previously observed^{1b,c} for **12** \rightarrow **13** (Scheme 4). While the preferred chair-like transition state **14**^{1b,2c} (R¹ is the large group attached to the α -position) can be extended to explain some of these new results, it should only be considered as a rough working model as other transition states must also be important (especially for the *Z* isomer of **6**^{1a}).

Scheme 4



In summary, the stereoselective aldol reactions $2 \rightarrow 7$ and $8 \rightarrow 9$ should both prove valuable in polyketide synthesis. Moreover, since reliable reduction methods already exist for β -hydroxyketones to give selectively 1,3-syn¹⁰ or 1,3-anti-¹¹ diols (e.g. see footnote 4), twelve of the sixteen stereoisomers of the stereotetrad 1 should now be readily accessible *via* the ketones 4, 5, or 7 in Scheme 1, or their corresponding enantiomers.

Acknowledgement: We thank the SERC and Lilly Research Centre for support (CASE Studentship to J.M.G.), Dr W. J. Ross for his interest, Professor E. Nakamura (Tokyo Institute of Technology) for arranging a collaborative student exchange (M.I.), and Mr R. D. Norcross for valuable experimental assistance. Acknowledgement is made to the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for partial support of this research.

References and Notes

- (a) Paterson, I., Lister, M. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 585; (b) Paterson, I.; McClure, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1229; (c) Paterson, I.; McClure, C. K.; Schumann, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1293.
- For the aldol chemistry of enol borinates, see also: (a) Corey, E. J.; Imwinkelried, R.; Pikul, S.; Xiang, Y. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5493; (b) Brown, H. C.; Dhar, R. K.; Bakshi, R. K.; Pandiarajan, P. K.; Singaram, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3441; (c) Blanchette, M. A.; Malamas, M. S.; Nantz, M. H.; Roberts, J. C.; Somfai, P.; Whritenour, D. C.; Masamune, S.; Kageyama, M.; Tamura, T. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2817; (d) Enders, D.; Lohray, B. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1988, 27, 581; (e) Masamune, S.; Sato, T.; Kim, B. M.; Wollmann, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8279; (f) Reetz, M. T.; Kunisch, F.; Heitmann, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4721; (g) Paterson, I.; Lister, M. A.; McClure, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4787; (h) Masamune, S.; Lu, L. D.-L.; Jackson, W. P.; Kaiho, T.; Toyoda, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5523; (i) Masamune, S.; Choy, W.; Kerdesky, F. A. J.; Imperiali, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1566; (j) Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2127; (k) Evans, D. A.; Nelson, J. V.; Vogel, E.; Taber, T. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3099; (l) Inoue, T.; Mukaiyama, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 174; (m) Masamune, S.; Van Horn, D.; Brooks, D. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 1665; (n) Fenzl, W.; Köster, R. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1975, 1322.
- 3. For a review on stereotriad synthesis, see: Hoffmann, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1987, 26, 489.
- 4. The stereochemistry of the major aldol adduct for crotonaldehyde **15** was determined as 1,2-anti-2,4-anti by chemical correlation with **17**, independently prepared from the 1,2-syn-2,4-anti isomer **16**, by the following sequence:



(a) Me₄N.HB(OAc)₃, AcOH/MeCN, -20 °C, 16 h (>95:5, 99%); (b) (MeO)₂CMe₂, PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, 20 °C, 16 h (67 and 88%); (c) O₃, CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O, -78 °C, 5 min; Me₂S \rightarrow 20 °C (75 and 59%); (d) K₂CO₃, MeOH, 20 °C, 60 h (90%); (e) Bu₂BOMe, MeOH-THF (1:5), -78 °C, 15 min; LiBH₄, 1 h; H₂O₂ (\geq 97:3, 89%).

- All new compounds gave spectroscopic data in agreement with the assigned structures. Preparation of (+)-8 from (S)-(-)-methyl-3-hydroxy-2-propionate (72% overall): (i) Cl₃CC(=NH)OBn, 2:1 hexane/CH₂Cl₂, cat. TfOH, 20 °C, 2 h; (ii) MeON(Me)H.HCl, Me₃Al, PhMe, 70 °C, 2 h; (iii) MeMgCl, THF, 0 °C, 1 h. See also: White, J.D.; Reddy, G. N.; Spessard, G. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1624.
- 6. See also: Martin, V. A.; Albizati, K. F. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 5986.
- 7. Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M. Tetrahedron Letters 1989, 30, 997.
- 8. The stereochemistry of the major aldol adducts for benzaldehyde and butanal was determined as 1,4-syn by conversion into diols (+)-18, R = Ph and $^{n}Pr^{2e}$

(i)
$$CF_3CO_3H$$
, CH_2CI_2
R OBn $O \rightarrow 20^{\circ}C$, 16 h
HO O (ii) LiAlH₄, THF, 20^{\circ}C, 16 h HO R = Ph, ⁿPr

- 9. For a review, see: Braun, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1987, 26, 24.
- (a) Chen, K. M.; Hardtmann, G. E.; Prasad, K.; Repic, O.; Shapiro, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 155; (b) Narasaka, K., Pai, F.-C. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 2233.
- (a) Evans, D. A., Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3560; (b) Anwar, S.; Davies, A. P. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 3761; (c) Bloch, R.; Gilbert, L.; Girard, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1021.
- 12. Stork, G.; Paterson, I.; Lee, F. K. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 4686.

(Received in UK 17 October 1989)