# **ALDOL REACTIONS IN POLYPROPIONATE SYNTHESIS: HIGH Z-FACE SELECTIVITY OF ENOL BORINATES FROM a-CHTRAL METHYL AND ETHYL KETONES UNDER SUBSTRATE CONTROL.**

Ian Paterson\*, Jonathan M. Goodman, and Masahiko Isaka

*University Chemical Laboratory, Lensjield Road, Cambridge CB2 IEW, UK.* 

**Summary:** Use of  $(c-C<sub>6</sub>H11)/2BC$ l in the *anti*-selective aldol reaction of the  $\alpha$ -chiral ethylketone 2 leads to high stercoselectivity (>94%) for the 1,2-anti-2,4-anti isomer 7. The related  $\alpha$ -chiral methylketone aldol reaction,  $\mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{9}$ , proceeds with 84-93% diastereoselectivity for a range of boron reagents.

We rcccntly described a useful entry into the synthesis *of* polypropionate-derived natural products based on the aldol reactions of  $\alpha$ -chiral ethylketones using enol borinates.<sup>1,2</sup> For an aldol/reduction approach to the generalised stereotetrad 1 in Scheme 1, the controlled synthesis<sup>3</sup> of each of the sixteen possible stereoisomers from the appropriate enantiomer of the starting ketone 2 is required. In our earlier work, the use of  $(+)$ - and  $(-)(Ipc)$ <sub>2</sub>BOTf reagents with ethylketone (+)-2 gave, via the Z cnol borinates 3, the 1,2-syn adducts 4 and 5 (SS and SA) respectively with 90-93% diastereoselectivity (*i.e.* a reagent controlled aldol reaction).<sup>1a</sup> However, using achiral ligands on boron in 3 provided negligible  $\pi$ -face selectivity and gave an  $ca$  1:1 mixture of 4 and 5.

We now report the contrasting behaviour of  $6$ , the corresponding E enol borinate derivative of 2 with *achiral* ligands, which gives the 1,2-anti-2,4-anti adduct 7 (AA) with >94% diastereoselectivity (i.e. a substrate controlled aldol reaction). The aldol reaction of the  $\alpha$ -chiral methylketone  $(+)$ -8 also occurs with an unprecedented level of stereoselectivity f84-93%), favouring the 1,4-syn adduct 9 for a range of **boron reagents.** 

## **Scheme 1**



Our earlier findings<sup>1a</sup> for the boron mediated aldol reactions of ethylketone 2 suggested that with  $n_{\text{Bu2BOTf/Et3N}}$  at 0 °C some selectivity (70-84%) for one of the two 1,2-anti isomers (AA or AS) was possible. Since the  $\pi$ -face selectivity of chiral enol borinates varies with the size of the ligands on boron,<sup>2g</sup> we chose to explore the use of more sterically demanding boron reagents to give the required E isomer. Brown's dicyclohexylchloroborane reagent, which gives highly selective E enolisation with simple ethylketones,<sup>2b</sup> was applied to the *anti*-selective aldol reaction of  $\alpha$ -chiral ketone (+)-2,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  = +23.0 ° (c 8.2, CHCl3; >97% ee), as shown in Scheme 2. The results for various aldehydes are given in Table 1.



Table 1. Aldol reactions of ethylketone (+)-2 using  $(c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>2</sub>BCl/Et<sub>3</sub>N$  in Et<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>a</sup>

entry	aldehyde	temp $(^{\circ}C)$	AA	AS	$SS + SA$	AA:AS	$%$ yield <sup>c</sup>
1		0 <sup>d</sup>	92.0	5.0	< 3.0	18:1	72
$\overline{2}$	сно.	$-78$	>94.3	2.2	< 3.5	43:1	74
3	$\sim$ CHO	$-78$	96.3	0.8	2.9	120:1	85
4	$n_{\text{PrCHO}}$	$-78$	95	5 <sup>e</sup>		230:1	72
5	<sup>i</sup> PrCHO	$-78$	>94	- 61		$\geq 30:1$	89

product composition  $(\%)^b$ 

 $a$  Enolisation (0 °C, 2 h), condensation (-78 °C, 3 h), and oxidative workup (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, pH7 buffer/MeOH) conditions are standard unless otherwise stated. For typical experimental conditions, see below and ref  $2b$ .  $b$  Isomer ratios determined by weighing isolated components after HPLC separation.  $c$  Isolated yield after chromatography. d Aldehyde addition at 0 °C for 2 h. e Total of minor isomers (0.6%, 1.4%, 3%), where stereochemistry was not assigned.  $\tilde{f}$  Total of minor isomers, where stereochemistry was not assigned.

Optimisation of the reaction conditions (base, solvent, and temperature) was initially performed using methacrolein. Use of  $(c-\mathsf{G}\mathsf{H}_{11})$ ?BCl (1.5 equiv.) and Et3N (1.6 equiv.) in Et2O, with enolisation of  $(+)$ -2 at  $0$  °C for 2 h and aldol addition (2 equiv. of aldehyde) at -78  $°C$  for 3 h, led to highest selectivity (>94.3%) for one of the 1,2-*anti* isomers (entry 2). The  $\pi$ -face selectivity of the intermediate *E* enol borinate **6** was 43:1 based on the ratio of 1,2-anti isomers obtained (AA and AS), with ~3.5% of 1,2-syn isomers (SS and SA) detected (HPLC). Higher temperatures for aldol addition (entry 1) led to reduced enol borinate face selectivity. Highly stereoselective aldol reactions were also carried out with crotonaldehyde, butanal, and isobutyraldehyde (entries 3-5). In the case of the crotonaldehyde addition, the stereochemistry of the major adduct was determined as  $1,2$ -*anti*-2,4-*anti*  $(AA)$ ,<sup>4</sup> *i.e.* **7** for  $R = E$ -MeCH=CH, and this assignment is assumed to also hold for the major adducts obtained with the other aldehydes. This high enol borinate n-face selectivity (?3C-120:1), achieved *under substrate control,* is in marked contrast to the lack of x-face bias in the *Z* isomer.<sup>1a</sup> Such different behaviour in the *E* and *Z* enol borinates of an  $\alpha$ -chital ketone is unprecedented. It was, therefore, of interest to study the behaviour of the corresponding unsubstituted enol borinate (Scheme 3).

The methylketone (+)-8,  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +16.6^\circ$  (c 8.7, CHCl3; >97% ee), was prepared<sup>5</sup> by an analogous three step sequence to that used in the synthesis of  $(+)$ -2. The enol borinate was generated with a range of reagents and reacted with methacrolein (entries 1-3, Table 2). Using achiral reagents, the reaction diastereoselectivity was 5:1 ( $^{13}$ C NMR on the mixture and capillary gc analysis after silylation), which did not vary significantly with the size of the ligands on boron (entries I vs 2). In contrast, the corresponding Li enolate reaction of 8 (LDA, THF, -78 "C) with methacrolein was nonselective  $(57:43)$ .<sup>6</sup> The diastereoselectivity could be improved to 13:1 by use of the chiral reagent (-)- $(Ipc)$ 2BOTf (entry 3).<sup>7</sup> Similar levels of stereoselectivity (5-11:1) were obtained with benzaldehyde (entry 4) and butanal (entries 5 and 6). In these two cases, the major aldol adduct was determined to be  $1.4\text{-}syn$  (9 for R = Ph,  $n_{\text{Pr}}$ ).<sup>8</sup> These results contrast with the low aldol stereoselectivities shown by most other  $\alpha$ -chiral methylketones investigated.<sup>9</sup>

## **Scheme 3**





**Table 2.** Aldol reactions of methylketone (+)-8 via enol borinate 10.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Enolisation (0 °C, 2 h), condensation (-78 °C, 3 h), and oxidative workup (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, pH7 buffer/MeOH) conditions are standard unless otherwise stated. For typical experimental conditions, see ref 2f. <sup>b</sup> The isomers were inseparable by HPLC. Isomer ratios were determined by both <sup>13</sup>C NMR on the mixture and capillary gc after trimethylsilylation (Me3SiCl, Et3N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 20 °C). c Isolated yield of 9 and **11** after chromatography. d Reaction in CH2Cl2. e Reaction in Et20.

In the aldol reactions of both enol borinates 6 and 10, the sense of aldehyde  $\pi$ -face selectivity is the same, with the former showing a greater level of selectivity. Furthermore, the finding that for achiral ligands, the unsubstituted enolate 10 shows much higher selectivity than the Z-methyl substituted system is the reverse to other cases.<sup>2g,9</sup> Overall, the 1,4-syn stereoselectivity of the present aldol reactions are in accord with that previously observed<sup>1b,c</sup> for 12  $\rightarrow$  13 (Scheme 4). While the preferred chair-like transition state 14<sup>1b,2c</sup> (R<sup>1</sup> is the large group attached to the  $\alpha$ position) can be extended to explain some of these new results, it should only be considered as a rough working model as other transition states must also be important (especially for the Z isomer of  $6^{1a}$ ).

#### **Scheme** 4



In summary, the stereoselective aldol reactions  $2 \rightarrow 7$  and  $8 \rightarrow 9$  should both prove valuable in polyketide synthesis. Moreover, since reliable reduction methods already exist for P-hydroxyketones to give selectively 1,3-syn10 or 1,3-anti-<sup>11</sup> diols (e.g. see footnote 4), twelve of the sixteen stereoisomers of the stereotetrad 1 should now be readily accessible via the ketones 4, 5, or 7 in Scheme 1, or their corresponding enantiomers.

Acknowledgement: We thank the SERC and Lilly Research Centre for support (CASE Studentship to J.M.G.), Dr W. J. Ross for his interest, Professor E. Nakamura (Tokyo Institute of Technology) for arranging a collaborative student exchange (M.I.), and Mr R. D. Norcross for valuable experimental assistance. Acknowledgement is made to the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for partial support of this research.

#### **References and Notes**

- $\mathbf{1}$ (a) Paterson, I., Lister, M. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 585; (b) Paterson, I.; McClure, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987. 28, 1229; (c) Paterson, I.; McClure, C. K.; Schumann, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1293.
- $\mathbf{2}$ . For the aldol chemistry of enol borinates, see also: (a) Corey, E. J.; Imwinkelried, R.; Pikul, S.; Xiang, Y. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5493; (b) Brown, H. C.; Dhar, R. K.; Bakshi, R. K.; Pandiarajan, P. K.; Singaram, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3441; (c) Blanchette, M. A.; Malamas, M. S.; Nantz, M. H.; Roberts, J. C.; Somfai, P.; Whritenour, D. C.; Masamune, S.; Kageyama, M.; Tamura, T. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2817; (d) Enders, D.; Lohray, B. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1988, 27, 581; (e) Masamune, S.; Sato, T.; Kim, B. M.; Wollmann, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8279; (f) Reetz, M. T.; Kunisch, F.; Heitmann, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4721; (g) Paterson, I.; Lister, M. A.; McClure, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4787; (h) Masamune, S.; Lu, L. D.-L.; Jackson, W. P.; Kaiho, T.; Toyoda, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5523; (i) Masamune, S.; Choy, W.; Kerdesky, F. A. J.; Imperiali, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1566; (j) Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2127; (k) Evans, D. A.; Nelson, J. V.; Vogel, E.; Taber, T. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3099; (I) Inoue, T.; Mukaiyama, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 174; (m) Masamune, S.; Mori, S.; Van Horn, D.; Brooks, D. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 1665; (n) Fenzl, W.; Köster, R. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1975, 1322.
- 3. For a review on stereotriad synthesis, see: Hoffmann, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1987, 26, 489.
- $\boldsymbol{A}$ . The stereochemistry of the major aldol adduct for crotonaldehyde 15 was determined as 1,2-anti-2,4-anti by chemical correlation with 17, independently prepared from the 1,2-syn-2,4-anti isomer 16, by the following sequence:



(a) Me4N HB(OAc)3, AcOH/MeCN, -20 °C, 16 h (>95:5, 99%); (b) (MeO)2CMe2, PPTS, CH2Cl2, 20 °C, 16 h (67 and 88%); (c) O3, CH2Cl2/Et2O, -78 °C, 5 min; Me2S → 20 °C (75 and 59%); (d) K2CO3, MeOH, 20 °C, 60 h (90%); (e) Bu<sub>2</sub>BOMe, MeOH-THF (1:5), -78 °C, 15 min; LiBH<sub>4</sub>, 1 h; H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (≥97:3, 89%).

- 5. All new compounds gave spectroscopic data in agreement with the assigned structures. Preparation of  $(+)$ -8 from  $(S)$ -(-)-methyl-3-hydroxy-2-propionate (72% overall): (i) Cl<sub>3</sub>CC(=NH)OBn, 2:1 hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, cat. TfOH, 20 °C, 2 h; (ii) MeON(Me)H.HCl, Me3Al, PhMe, 70 °C, 2 h; (iii) MeMgCl, THF, 0 °C, 1 h. See also: White, J.D.; Reddy, G. N.; Spessard, G. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1624.
- See also: Martin, V. A.; Albizati, K. F. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 5986. 6.
- 7. Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M. Tetrahedron Letters 1989, 30, 997.
- 8. The stereochemistry of the major aldol adducts for benzaldehyde and butanal was determined as 1,4-syn by conversion into diols (+)-18,  $R = Ph$  and  $nPr<sub>1</sub>2e$

- For a review, see: Braun, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1987, 26, 24. 9.
- 10. (a) Chen, K. M.; Hardtmann, G. E.; Prasad, K.; Repic, O.; Shapiro, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 155; (b) Narasaka, K., Pai, F.-C. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 2233.
- 11. (a) Evans, D. A., Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3560; (b) Anwar, S.; Davies, A. P. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 3761; (c) Bloch, R.; Gilbert, L.; Girard, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1021.
- 12. Stork, G.; Paterson, I.; Lee, F. K. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 4686.

(Received in UK 17 October 1989)